CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO

2	Nevada Attorney General CHAZ W. LEHMAN Deputy Attorney General	
3	Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division	
4	Nevada Bar No. 12994 100 N. Carson Street	
5	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1261	
6	È-mail: clehman@ag.nv.gov	
7	Attorneys for Defendants Ronald Bryant, Adam Endel, and E.K. McDaniel	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	JOSEPH L. MIZZONI,	3:11-cv-00186-LRH-WGC
12	Plaintiff,	3.11-CV-00100-LRH-VVGC
13	VS.	MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S "REPLY AND OBJECTION" (#83)
14	STATE OF NEVADA, ex rel. NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,	AND OBSECTION (#03)
15	Defendants.	
16	Defendants.	
17	Defendants, Ronald Bryant, Adam Endel, and E.K. McDaniel, by and through counsel	
18	Catherine Cortez Masto, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Chaz W. Lehman,	
19	Deputy Attorney General, hereby move to strike Plaintiff's "Reply and Objection". (#83). This	
20	motion is made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f), LR IB 3-2 and based upon the following	
21	points and authorities and all papers and filings in this case.	
22	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHOURTIES	
23	I. LEGAL STANDARD	
24	Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. PRO. 12(f), the court may strike any pleading that is	
25	"redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous." Although FED. R. CIV. PRO. 12(f)	
26	references on only "pleadings," courts have shown a willingness to strike improperly filed	
27	documents even when such stricken documents are not pleadings. See Ctr. for Biological	
28	Diversity v. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, 450 F.3d 930, 944 (9th Cir. 2006) (district court did	

not abuse its discretion in striking a plaintiff's "extra-record" document because the document was offered for an impermissible use); *Hambleton Bros. Lumber Co. v. Balkin Enters., Inc.,* 397 F.3d 1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2005) (court granted motion to strike an errata notice and witness' declaration where the filing of such papers did not comport with procedural rules).

II. DISCUSSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Any party may challenge a Magistrate Judge's proposed recommendation regarding a dispositive motion by filing written objections within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); LR IB 3-2. The opposing party shall within fourteen (14) days thereafter file and serve points and authorities opposing the objections. LR IB 3-2. The district court must then "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The district court may accept, reject, or modify in whole or in part, the findings and recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. *Id.*; see FED. R. CIV. PRO. 72(b).

Plaintiff's "Reply and Objection" (#83) in support of his previous objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is a rogue document and should be stricken. Nowhere in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Rules is Plaintiff granted the authority to reply to the opposing party's opposition to an objection to a Magistrate Judge's ruling. The rule permits a party to object to a magistrate judge's ruling and an opposing party to respond. LR IB 3-2. Therefore, Plaintiff's "Reply and Objection" (#83) should be stricken because filing a reply to an opposition to an objection is improper.

22 | / / /

23 || / / /

24 || / / /

25 || / / /

26 || / / /

27 || / / /

28 || / / /

III. CONCLUSION

Plaintiff's reply in support of his objection to the report and recommendation should be stricken as improper under the rules.

Dated: August 13, 2014

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General

 \sim

By: CHAZ W. LEHMAN

Deputy Attorney General Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division

Attorney for Defendants

Office of the Attorney General 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701-4717

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada, and that on August 13, 2014, I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S "REPLY AND OBJECTION" (#83), to be served, by U.S. District Court CM/ECF Electronic Filing on the following: JOSEPH L. MIZZONI #68549 CARE OF NNCC LAW LIBRARIAN NORTHERN NEVADA CORRECTIONAL CENTER P.O. BOX 7000 CARSON CITY, NV 89702 lawlibrary@doc.nv.gov An employee of the Office of the Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701-4717